UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Middle District of Pennsylvania

United States of America	(VIII EI
v.	Case No. 3:18-CR-97-1 PER PER 1022
noga nogato) Case No. 3:18-CR-97-1
ROSS ROGGIO) PER FEB 17 30 ARA
Defendant	DC 1022
ORDER OF D	ETENTION PENDING TRIAL - Eligibility for Detention
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CLEDY
Part I	- Eligibility for Detention
Upon the	
Mation of the Government attorne	y pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or
	rt's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
Motion of the Government of Cour	it's own motion pursuant to 16 0.5.C. § 5142(1)(2),
he Court held a detention hearing and found that cand conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. §	detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact	and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
and the community because the following co (1) the defendant is charged with one (a) a crime of violence, a violati	on of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person on one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1): ion of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. haximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
	ximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Cha	mum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act opter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph	as been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs a, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal mbination of such offenses; or
(e) any felony that is not otherw	vise a crime of violence but involves:
(iii) any other dangerous weapo	ession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); on; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
(2) the defendant has previously been	n convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. fense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
(3) the offense described in paragrap	sh (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was
committed while the defendant was of	on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; and
(4) a period of not more than five year defendant from imprisonment, for the	ars has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the e offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	; ;
B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a	
rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defer committed one or more of the following offenses:	
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);	[21
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years.	vears
or more is prescribed;	;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum te imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or	rm of
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.	
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above	
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)	
OR	
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.	
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention	;
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention he the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:	aring
⊠By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably as the safety of any other person and the community.	sure
By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assuthe defendant's appearance as required.	ıre
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:	
☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong	
Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted	,
Prior criminal history	
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision	-
History of violence or use of weapons History of alcohol or substance abuse	

☐ Lack of stable employment☐ Lack of stable residence

☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

☐ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district

Case 3:18-cr-00097-RDM Document 134 Filed 02/17/22 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial	
☐ Significant family or other ties outside the United States	*
Lack of legal status in the United States	
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration	
Prior failure to appear in court as ordered	
Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement	
Use of alias(es) or false documents	
Background information unknown or unverified	
Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release	
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:	
The charges in the Superseding Indictment, conspiracy to commit torture and torture, are crimes of vi proven, are extremely troubling to the Court. The weight of the evidence against defendant with respectanged offenses is very strong, and it includes recorded statements made by the defendant at the time	ct to the newly

torture.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

			5	- 115	1. 1.2.7	F 5.
Date:	02/17/2022		700	TCF.X	Japent	Py
Date.		 		Linited 9	States Magistra	te Tukke
				Offica	States Magistra	io anabo